



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,497	02/26/2002	Mark A. Tschiegg	FS1-0003US	8699
29150 7590 12/15/2008				
LEE & HAYES, PLLC				
601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE				
SUITE 1400				
SPOKANE, WA 99201				
EXAMINER				
ROBINSON, GRETA LEE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2169				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/15/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/085,497

**Applicant(s)**

TSCHIEGG ET AL.

**Examiner**

Greta L. Robinson

**Art Unit**

2169

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tim R. Wyckoff (registration no. 46175).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Greta L. Robinson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bladen et al. 2002/0099586 A1, Smith 2003/0065613 A1 and Schneider et al. US Patent 6,785,728 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The general nature of the telephone interview was to discuss rejection cited under 35 UCS 103(a) and proposed amendment. The examiner stated the references do not appear to teach amended limitation. Applicant will file formal remarks for consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Greta L. Robinson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169a

December 10, 2008